

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address C: MMISSI NER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 898,385	07 05 2001	David Paul Jones	ESM00-003	3631
75	90 08-11-2003			
Samuel H. Weiner, Esq. OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN,LLP 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICANS			EXAMINER	
			RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
NEW YORK, N	IY 10036-8403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/898,385	JONES ET AL.	W					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Timothy L Rude	2871						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	Idress					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	vill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	luly 2004							
,	is action is non-final.							
, <u> </u>		matters presenting as to the	ao morito io					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	·	• •	ie ments is					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · ·						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior ap	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	I application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	• •							
Attachment(s)		00						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT						
	, -							

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 6-15, drawn to a liquid crystal display (LCD), classified in class
 349, subclass 110.
- II. Claims 1-5, drawn to an optical element, classified in class 250, subclass 206+.
- III. Claim 16-23, drawn to a process for manufacturing a LCD, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (1) the process deposits a black matrix on the second dielectric layer, where the product need not have such a layer.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Art Unit: 2871

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the wiring layer (Applicant's circuitry layer) is on the first dielectric layer substantially in regions where there is no shielding area between the first dielectric layer and the first transparent plate (Applicant's insulating substrate). That is, transistors are on the shielding areas, but not generally a circuitry layer.

The subcombination has separate utility such as use in an electroluminescent display.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the method for manufacturing a LCD is not relevant to an optical element not comprising a LCD.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2871

Invention I of this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, claim 14, drawn to a LCD wherein the shielding element is a reflective material.

Species B, claim 15, drawn to a LCD wherein the shielding element is a non-reflective material.

Currently claims 6-13 are generic to Species A and B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect Invention II, Invention III, or a single disclosed species A or B of Invention I for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2871

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L Rude whose telephone number is (703) 305-0418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Timothy L Rude Examiner Art Unit 2871

TLR July 29, 2003

PRIMAP